Supplementary material for Trumble et al. "Successful hunting increases testosterone and
 cortisol in a subsistence population"

3

4 Provisioning and Signaling Models (Bootstrap Statistical Models)

Because sample sizes were relatively small, additional bootstrapped regression models (500 5 repetitions) were conducted to examine provisioning and signaling models. Bootstrapped 6 regression models controlling for age², BMI and time hunting find that hunters returning with 7 large kills did not differ from men who killed smaller game in absolute (p=0.48) or percent 8 9 change in testosterone (p=0.12) at the time of the kill, nor in absolute or percent change in testosterone upon returning home (p=0.95, p=0.99 respectively). Absolute log cortisol ($\beta=0.36$, 10 p=0.008) and percent change in cortisol ($\beta=54.22$, p=0.001) were higher for men killing a larger 11 12 animal at the time of the kill, but not upon returning home (p=0.47, p=0.24, respectively) controlling for age², BMI and time hunting. Regression models also find no evidence of 13 differences in absolute (p=0.88) or change in testosterone (p=0.84) for successful hunters who 14 encountered individuals other than their nuclear family on the way home, or at their house 15 (p=0.95, p=0.93), controlling for age², BMI and time hunting. Identical models examining 16 17 audience effect on absolute cortisol and cortisol change find no differences during the return trip (p=0.41, p=0.94), or later at home (p=0.81, p=0.56). No bootstrap models differed from 18 regression models in significance or beta sign. 19

20

21 The Dual Hormone Hypothesis Supplement

22 The Dual Hormone Hypothesis (DHH) suggests that interactions between cortisol and

testosterone regulate dominance and aggression in human males [1], specifically that high

24 testosterone phenotypes pursue aggressive or dominant strategies while cortisol is low, but that high baseline cortisol negates the behavioral effects of high testosterone. Males facing 25 considerable stressors (indicated by high cortisol) should reduce aggressive behavior to avoid the 26 energetic costs and physical danger associated with male-male competition [2]. 27 As all of the men in our study were hunting, we cannot attest to any associations between 28 29 testosterone, cortisol, and behavioral state (e.g. aggression, dominance, or competitive intent), and can only examine changes in testosterone during the course of the hunt. 30 Although aggressive behaviors may be down regulated for males in poor condition, there are 31 32 theoretical reasons to believe that males should maintain the ability to produce rapid increases in testosterone regardless of baseline condition or cortisol [3]. Acute increases in testosterone 33 allow muscle tissue to uptake sugars more rapidly [4]. Regardless of baseline cortisol, an 34 increase in testosterone would benefit muscle tissues, enhancing performance for any male in a 35 competitive or aggressive situation. Previous research among the Tsimane shows that despite 36 significantly lower baseline testosterone levels when compared to US males, Tsimane men still 37 maintain a similar relative increase in testosterone when engaged in male-male competition [5]. 38 Males of many seasonally breeding species produce large increases in testosterone while under 39 40 social and energetic stress during the mating season [3]. The primary analyses from the original study examining the DHH [1] split individuals into high 41

42 and low baseline testosterone and high and low baseline cortisol, using one standard deviation 43 above and below the mean as cutoffs. In our sample, no individuals were both in the high 44 testosterone and low cortisol subgroup (no individuals were one SD above the mean for 45 testosterone and one SD below the mean for cortisol) as in our study, baseline cortisol and 46 testosterone trended towards a positive correlation (r=.34, p=0.056), see supplemental figure 1.

48	Because we could not recreate the primary DHH analysis, we instead conducted regression
49	analyses examining the percent change in testosterone with age ² , BMI, time hunting, baseline
50	testosterone and baseline cortisol, and an interaction term between testosterone and cortisol as
51	covariates. For individuals that returned with meat, the percent change in testosterone at the end
52	of the day was not modified by interactions between baseline cortisol and testosterone ($p=0.51$).
53	Likewise, hunters not returning with meat showed no evidence that the percent change in
54	testosterone was affected by interactions between cortisol and testosterone ($p=0.28$). Previous
55	studies find evidence for an interaction between pre-competition testosterone and cortisol only
56	for men in a defeat condition, but not in the victory condition [1].
57	We also conducted additional regression analyses examining the role of the baseline
58	testosterone-to-cortisol ratio in predicting the percent change in testosterone. Regression models
59	examining how the testosterone-to-cortisol ratio impacted percent change in testosterone (with
60	age ² , time hunting, and BMI as covariates), showed no evidence that the testosterone-to-cortisol
61	ratio played any role in percent change in testosterone from the beginning to the end of the hunt
62	(<i>p</i> =0.19).
63	Although we find no evidence for the DHH in this study, it should be noted that the original and

Although we find no evidence for the DHH in this study, it should be noted that the original and
subsequent DHH studies examined how cortisol and testosterone could interact to affect
dominance behavior. Our results with regard to hunting may differ from previous DHH results
for several reasons. First these studies took place on different time scales (a 30 minute
laboratory task versus hunting for an average of 8.4 hours) making comparability with the DHH
study difficult. Thus while baseline cortisol and testosterone in the original DHH study was
indicative of the current state of cortisol and testosterone in those individuals at the time of the

70 task, the men in our hunting study were far removed from their baseline. Secondly, these men 71 had already chosen to engage in the behavior of hunting, thus interactions between testosterone and cortisol that may have influenced their decision to hunt had occurred before our study began. 72 73 Research in animal models often finds increased testosterone during competition despite relatively high levels of cortisol for animals that engage in male-male competition [3]. Thus 74 while high levels of cortisol could shift behavior strategies toward avoiding competition, if an 75 individual does engage in competition, then their muscle tissue would benefit from increased 76 testosterone regardless of baseline cortisol. This benefit to muscle tissue would enhance ability 77 to fight off another male, but could also enhance an individual's ability to flee. 78

79



81



82

83 Supplemental Figure 1

The percent change in testosterone versus the percent change in cortisol at the time of the kill (r=0.88, p<0.001) and upon returning home (r=0.35, p=0.067).



87

88 Supplemental Figure 2

89 Heart rate (dark line) and accelerometery vector magnitude units (red bars) from three representative hunts where various animals were killed. Vector magnitude units indicate hunter 90 91 movement as collected by tri-axial accelerometer. The hunter in Panel A, age 39, encountered a 92 collared peccary (Pecari tajacu) at 9:52 AM, at which time he stalked and killed the animal at 93 9:54AM. The hunter in Panel B, age 28, encountered a capuchin (*Cebus apella*) at 16:35 PM, 94 stalking and killing the animal at 16:59 PM. The third panel represents a hunter aged 48 years who encountered and immediately killed a collared peccary (Pecari tajacu) at 8:29 AM, 95 96 encountered a coati (Nasua nasua) at 10:10 AM, chased and killed the animal at 10:30 AM, and encountered and immediately killed a lowland paca (Cuniculus paca) at 12:51PM. 97

98

99 Supplemental Work Cited

100	1.	Mehta P.H., Josephs R.A. 2010 Testosterone and cortisol jointly regulate dominance: Evidence	
101	for a d	ual-hormone hypothesis. <i>Horm Behav</i> 58(5), 898-906. (doi:10.1016/j.yhbeh.2010.08.020).	
102	2.	Carre J.M., Mehta P.H. 2011 Importance of considering testosterone-cortisol interactions in	
103	predicting human aggression and dominance. Aggress Behav 37 (6), 489-491. (doi:10.1002/ab.20407).		
104	3.	Wingfield J.C., Sapolsky R.M. 2003 Reproduction and resistance to stress: When and how.	
105	Journal of Neuroendocrinology 15 (8), 711-724. (doi:10.1046/j.1365-2826.2003.01033.x).		
106	4.	Tsai L.W., Sapolsky R.M. 1996 Rapid stimulatory effects of testosterone upon myotubule	
107	metabolism and sugar transport, as assessed by silicon microphysiometry. Aggressive Behav 22(5), 357		
108	364. (doi:10.1002/(sici)1098-2337).		
109	5.	Trumble B., Cummings D., Von Rueden C., O'Connor K., Smith E., Gurven M., Kaplan H. 2012	
110	Physical competition increases testosterone among Amazonian forager-horticulturalists: a test of the		
111	'challenge hypothesis'. Proc R Soc B, 1471-2954. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2012.0455).		
112			
113			